

Health and food at the heart of life

Outbreaks of digital dermatitis in beef fattening units: clinical findings and control

<u>Relun A¹</u>, Grand B¹, Heily V¹, Denis G², Tocze C³, Dorso L^{1,4}, Assie S^{1,4}, Fusellier M⁵, Douart A¹, Guatteo R¹

¹ BioEpAR & Farm Animal Medicine Unit, INRA, Oniris, Nantes, France ² CAVAC, Service Santé animale, La Roche sur Yon, France ³ TERRENA, Mésanger, France ⁴ Autopsie-service, Oniris, Nantes, France ⁵ Department of imagery, Oniris, Nantes, France

Conference on Lameness in Ruminants - 07/09/2017 - Munich, Germany



HISTORY

2 farms 400 – 500 bulls finished / year

Charolaise (80%)

Straw yard Deep litter bedding

Silages - grain - protein, min & vit supplements - Lameness with unusual digital lesions (summer ++) Weight losses + premature culling + treatment



FEET INSPECTION ON THE FARM

Bulls > 15 months (~600 kg BW) Up to 50% of bulls affected in each pen







FEET INSPECTION AFTER CULLING

Circumscribed ulcerative to granulomatous skin lesions mostly at the coronary band + often separation of the hoof horn and hoof horn overgrowth.





RADIOGRAPHIC FINDINGS





Diffuse circumferential soft tissue swelling

Separation of the hoof wall and emphysema

Irregular periostal new bone formation , dorsally of the lateral distal phalynx

Ossifying periostitis at the lateral distal phalynx and horn defect of the lateral claw



MAIN RISK FACTORS IDENTIFIED

- Humidity in some pens (bulls playing with water and poor ventilation)
- Overstocking in some pens
- Increase of litter temperature during summer?
- Late detection of affected bulls
- Purchase of bulls from different flocks (affected bulls came from 10 different flocks)

External biosecurity to keep DD out

Avoid introduction by animals

by equipment and visitors Internal biosecurity to minimize infection pressure

Maximize animal comfort

Avoid transmission between animals

Early identification, recording &

treatment

Frequent foot disinfection to prevent new cases

Define and monitor targets

External biosecurity to keep DD out

Animals from several herds

No hooftrimming Internal biosecurity to minimize infection pressure

Deep litter

Humidity

Overcrowding

Bulls changing pens as they grow Early identification, recording & treatment

No easy implementation of early detection

Topical treatment not feasible in one herd Frequent foot disinfection to prevent new cases

> No easy implementation (frequency)

Define and monitor targets

Health and food at the heart of life

CONTROL MEASURES

External biosecurity to keep DD out

Locomotion scoring at arrival

Walk-through footbath with litter conditioner at arrival

9

Internal biosecurity to minimize infection pressure

Decrease stocking density Use of litter conditioner (+- bacteria)

↗ dredging frequency in critical phases

No movements between pens Isolation of affected bulls

Old barn renewal

External biosecurity to keep DD out

Locomotion scoring at arrival

Walk-through footbath with litter conditioner at arrival Internal biosecurity to minimize infection pressure

Decrease stocking density Use of litter conditioner (+- bacteria)

 dredging frequency in critical phases
No movements between pens
Isolation of affected bulls
Old barn renewal Early identification, recording &

treatment

Careful observation of leg position

Topical treatment in one herd

Parenteral treatment in the other : Oxytetracycline Tulathromycine Frequent foot disinfection to prevent new cases

Footbathing (Litter conditoner Saniblanc®) at booster vaccination and weighing operations Define and monitor targets

Follow-up every 3 months during 1 year

LHR 2017 — RELUN A.

FOLLOW-UP

IMPROVEMENTS

DIFFICULTIES

Significant decrease of lameness prevalence (~15% to1% in 2 years)

Good effectiveness of litter conditioner (+- bacteria; seems to decrease DD prevalence and lesion severity)

Good effectiveness of parenteral tulathromycine for DD treatment

No eradication despite renewal of animals

Footbath implementation (frequency, location)

Early detection

Necessity to keep isolated bulls apart until the end of the finishing period

BEEF FATTENING UNITS: PERFECT FOR DD?

UNUSUAL CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Angell et al. 2015, Vet Rec

Why more frequently at the coronary band (covered by manure)?

Similar to COOD in ovine?

Impact of hoof horn separation and hoof horn overgrowth?

Why so late (stress, time to develop)?

14

CONCLUSION

- Significant financial and welfare impact
- Particular clinical presentation: farmers should be aware of it
- Control feasible
- Eradication might be difficult

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The farmers

Oniris team

Dr Geraldine Denis and Carole Tocze

Dr Juan-Manuel Ariza, Marie Lamande and Guillaume Puel

Thank you for your attention!

BEEF FATTENING UNITS IN FRANCE

BEEF FATTENING UNITS IN FRANCE

RADIOGRAPHIC FINDINGS

Diffuse soft tissue swelling

Ovoid inhomogeneous soft tissue, slightly laterally in the interdigital space

Severe irregular and poorly defined periostal and periarticular new bone formation, medially to the lateral distal interphalangeal joint space

Separation of the hoof wall and emphysema

To improve early detection

Locomotion scoring at arrival

Careful observation of the position of bulls legs

To prevent DD spread

Dredging/disinfection of all pens once + of quarantine between each batch

Footbathing at arrival, at booster vaccination & at weighing operations Isolation of affected

bulls during treatment

To treat affected bulls

Parenteral antibiotics (farm 2)

- Oxyetracycline
- tulathromycine

Topical disinfectant (farm 1)

Litter conditioner

To improve foot environment

Decrease of **stocking** density

Increase of **dredging frequency** in critical phases

Use of **litter conditioners** (+- bacteria)

Old **barns renewal**